Analysis of Options Table One

Option/ Criteria	Do Nothing	50 – 200 dwellings	Site by Site disposal	HRA	Investment Partner/ Joint Venture	Joint Venture with an RP
Mechanism for holding existing residential property portfolio	х	\checkmark^1	х	\checkmark	X ²	х
Ability to create a future residential property portfolio by development/acquisition	Х	X ³	х	X ⁴	Х	х
Ability to generate income for the Council	х	\checkmark	х	~	√ ⁵	✓
Ability to trade assets and services	х	Х	х	Х	\checkmark	✓
Make best use of the Council's	Х	X ⁶	✓	X ⁷	\checkmark	✓

 ¹ Only for affordable housing
² Council's property portfolio likely to be unattractive to JV partners
³ Possible but only up to a maximum of 200 units and only for affordable housing.
⁴ The costs of reopening the HRA would be too high
⁵ Income would be shared
⁶ Under this option housing would need to be Affordable Rent (80% OMR) therefore financial returns will not be maximised but other objectives of the Council will be met e.g. meeting housing needs.

existing property assets to create a revenue stream/capital receipt for the Council						
Provide quality homes/improving housing stock in the PRS	Х	x	√ ⁸	х	~	~
Addressing difficulties in affordable housing delivery through RPs	Х	✓	X ⁹	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓
Temporary accommodation – address potential shortfall & deliver differently ¹⁰	Х	х	X ¹¹	х	х	~
Control over outputs e.g. property type tenure rents and returns	Х	х	X ¹²	Х	Х	х

Analysis of Options Table Two

⁷ Under this option rents would be less than Open Market Rent therefore financial returns may not be maximised. Other objectives of the Council would be ⁸ Could be achieved through terms of sale but would compromise achieving maximum value
⁹ Could be achieved through terms of sale but would compromise achieving maximum value
¹⁰ Can be delivered by the Council in the general fund.
¹¹ Could be achieved through terms of sale but would compromise achieving maximum value
¹² Could be achieved through terms of sale but would compromise achieving maximum value
¹² Could be achieved through terms of sale but would compromise achieving maximum value

Option/ Criteria	Wholly owned company(ies) Limited by shares	Company(ies) limited by guarantee	LLP	Community Interest Company	
Mechanism for holding existing residential property portfolio	~	✓	\checkmark	~	
Ability to create a future residential property portfolio by development/acquisition	~	~	V	√ ¹³	
Ability to generate income for the Council	~	х	\checkmark^{14}	X ¹⁵	
Ability to trade assets and services	~	~	\checkmark	x	
Make best use of the Council's existing property assets to create a revenue stream/capital receipt for the Council	~	х	¥	x	
Provide quality homes/improving housing stock in the PRS	~	~	✓	✓	

 ¹³ Provided this activity can meet the community interest test
¹⁴ The Council would require a partner therefore the income may need to be shared
¹⁵ Distribution of dividends is limited, restricting the ability of the Council to benefit from surpluses

Addressing difficulties in affordable housing delivery through RPs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Temporary accommodation – address potential shortfall & deliver differently ¹⁶	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Control over outputs e.g. property type tenure rents and returns	✓	✓	X ¹⁷	✓ ¹⁸	

 ¹⁶ Can be delivered by the Council in the general fund.
¹⁷ The Council will need a partner therefore could not exercise 100% control
¹⁸ The company would need to demonstrate a community interest